1	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT		
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
3	KINGBIRD VENTURES, LLC,	Case No.: A-25-922959-B	
4	Plaintiff,	Dept. No.: XV	
5	v.	NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT	
6	SEAN DOLLINGER aka YAAKOV LEVTOV; DOLLINGER	OF SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE ACTION	
7	INNOVATIONS INC.; DOLLINGER HOLDINGS LLC; LQR HOUSE, INC.;	ACTION	
8	LQR HOUSE LIMITED; TAMARA SIMON DOLLINGER aka TAMARA ROSE SIMON;		
9	KUMAR ABHISHEK; ALEXANDRA HOFFMAN; JACLYN HOFFMAN; SHAWN		
10	KATTOULA; ANGELA KATTOULA; JAMES O'BRIEN; YILIN LU; LINJUN		
11	CHEN; JING LU; HONG CHUNG YEUNG; KBROS, LLC; COUNTRY WINE &		
12	SPIRITS, INC.; SSQUARED SPIRITS LLC; ALTERNATE INVESTMENTS		
13	CAPITAL LLC; X-MEDIA, INC.; SOUTH DOLL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; 1347608		
14	B.C. LIMITED CORP.; JAY DHALIWAL; JAMES HUBER; CWS CARES		
15	ASSOCIATION; LITTLE WEST GIVES ASSOCIATION; DOES 1-10; and		
16	ROE ENTITIES 1-10,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	COMMON STOCK OF LQR HOUSE	DERS AND BENEFICIAL OWNERS OF INC. ("LQR" OR THE "COMPANY") AS	
20	OF SEPTEMBER 23, 2025 (THE SHAREHOLDERS")	"RECORD DATE") ("CURRENT LQR	
21		REFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY. THIS	
22	ABOVE-CAPTIONED SHAREHOL	D SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSAL OF THE LDER DERIVATIVE ACTION AND	
23	YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTE	MATION REGARDING YOUR RIGHTS. ED BY THESE LEGAL PROCEEDINGS. IF	
24	BARRED FROM CONTESTING	TTLEMENT, YOU WILL BE FOREVER THE APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED	
25	SETTLEMENT AND FROM PURSUI		
26	PLEASE PROMPTLY TRANSMIT T	OCK FOR THE BENEFIT OF ANOTHER, HIS DOCUMENT TO SUCH BENEFICIAL	
27 28	OWNER.		
20			

1
 2
 3

THE COURT HAS MADE NO FINAL FINDINGS OR DETERMINATIONS CONCERNING THE MERITS OF THE ACTION, THE RECITATION OF THE BACKGROUND AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE SETTLEMENT CONTAINED HEREIN DOES NOT CONSTITUTE THE FINDINGS OF THE COURT. IT IS BASED ON REPRESENTATIONS MADE TO THE COURT BY COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES.

Notice is hereby provided to you of the proposed settlement (the "Settlement") of this shareholder derivative lawsuit. This Notice is provided by Order of the Eighth Judicial District Court in and for Clark County, Nevada (the "Court"). It is not an expression of any opinion by the Court. It is to notify you of the terms of the proposed Settlement, and your rights related thereto.

I. WHY THE COMPANY HAS ISSUED THIS NOTICE

Your rights may be affected by the Settlement of the action styled *Kingbird Ventures, LLC*, v. Sean Dollinger, et al., Case No. A-25-922959-B pending in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada (the "Action"). Kingbird Ventures, LLC, Plaintiff and LQR House, Inc. ("LQR"), Sean Dollinger aka Yaakov Levtov, Dollinger Innovations Inc., Dollinger Holdings LLC, LQR House Limited, Tamara Simon Dollinger aka Tamara Rose Simon, Kumar Abhishek, Alexandra Hoffman, Jaclyn Hoffman, Shawn Kattoula, Angela Kattoula, James O'Brien, Yilin Lu, Linjun Chen, Jing Lu, Hong Chung Yeung, KBros, LLC, Country Wine & Spirits, Inc., Ssquared Spirits LLC, Alternate Investments Capital LLC, X-Media, Inc., South Doll Limited Partnership, 1347608 B.C. Limited Corp., Jay Dhaliwal, James Huber, CWS Cares Association, and Little West Gives Association ("LQR" and the "Other Defendants") have agreed upon terms to settle the derivative claims in the Action on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Release dated September 23, 2025 (the "Settlement"), which can be viewed and/or downloaded at https://lqrhouse.com.

On November 17, 2025, at 9:00 a.m., the Court will hold a hearing (the "Final Hearing") in the Action. The purpose of the Final Hearing is to determine: (i) whether the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be approved; and (ii) whether a final judgment should be entered.

II. SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

- A. On July 11, 2025, Plaintiff filed a complaint against LQR and the Other Defendants in the Eighth Judicial District Court of Clark County, Nevada, captioned *Kingbird Ventures*, *LLC* v. Sean Dollinger, et al., Case Number A-25-922959-B (the "Action").
- B. In the Action, Kingbird asserted purported direct claims against LQR and the Other Defendants for the appointment of a receiver, declaratory judgment, alleged violations of Nevada Revised Statutes 207.400 and 90.570, civil conspiracy, and alter ego liability, and Kingbird and certain of Defendants have asserted various other claims against each other in actions pending in other jurisdictions (the "Direct Claims").
- C. In the Action, Kingbird also asserts certain derivative claims against Sean Dollinger, Kumar Abhishek, Yilin Lu, Lijun Chen, Jing Lu, and Hong Chun Yeung (the "Derivative Claims").
- D. On August 25, 2025 LQR and the Other Defendants removed the action to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, contending that Plaintiff's claims presented federal questions under the federal securities laws, Case No. 2:25-cv-01584-APG-MDC. Subsequently, Kingbird filed an emergency motion for remand, which the United States District Court granted.
- E. Upon remand to state court, on September 2, 2025, LQR and the Other Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the action contending, among other things, that Kingbird lacked standing and had failed to state a viable claim.
- F. Upon remand to state court, Kingbird filed a renewed motion for (among other relief) appointment of a receiver over LQR, which the Court orally granted on the record at a hearing on September 15, 2025. Kingbird and Defendants were required to submit a proposed order to the Court to appoint David Stapleton, of Stapleton Group, as the receiver over LQR for a status check on September 23, 2025.
- G. On September 18, 2025, the Defendants commenced an original writ proceeding before the Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 91311 (the "Writ Proceeding") challenging the appointment of a receiver.

H.

Action, resulting in the Settlement proposed here.

III. TERMS OF THE PROPOSED DERIVATIVE SETTLEMENT

The principal terms, conditions, and other matters that are part of the Settlement are summarized below. This summary should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, the text of the Settlement, which has been filed with the Court and can be viewed and/or downloaded at https://lqrhouse.com.

Before the entry of the order appointing a receiver, the Parties agreed to resolve the

The Parties have reached an agreement to globally resolve the Derivative Claims. The Settlement includes valuable corporate governance benefits for LQR and its shareholders as detailed below.

Specifically, LQR has agreed to engage, for a period of at least one year, Anthony W. Basch, to serve as corporate compliance monitor, who will make recommendations to LQR's board regarding any and all LQR share issuances, material transactions, and material governance changes or decisions, and will assess LQR with ensuring compliance with securities laws and NASDAQ requirements and procedures. During this period, LQR additionally will engage outside corporate counsel.

The Settlement also provides for the entry of judgment dismissing the Derivative Claims against LQR and the Individual Defendants with prejudice and, as explained in more detail in the Settlement, barring and releasing certain known or unknown claims that have been or could have been brought in any court by the Plaintiff in the Action or by LQR or any of its shareholders, derivatively against LQR and the Individual Defendants relating to any of the claims or matters that were or could have been alleged concerning the allegations in the Action.

IV. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES

As set forth in the Settlement, all parties are responsible for their own attorneys' fees and expenses.

V. REASONS FOR THE SETTLEMENT

The Court did not decide in favor of the Plaintiff or the Defendants. The proposed Settlement was negotiated by attorneys for the Parties. The attorneys for all of the Parties have

extensive experience in shareholder derivative cases, and they all believe the Settlement is in the best interest of their clients.

Plaintiff conducted an extensive investigation relating to the claims and the underlying events and transactions alleged in the Action. Plaintiff has concluded that the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to Plaintiff, Current LQR shareholders, and LQR, and in their best interests, and have agreed to settle the Derivative Claims raised in the Action pursuant to the terms and provisions of the Settlement after considering, among other things: (a) the benefits that LQR and its shareholder have received or will receive from the derivative Settlement, (b) the attendant risks of continued litigation, and (c) the desirability of permitting the Settlement to be consummated.

In particular, Plaintiff considered the significant litigation risk inherent in this shareholder derivative action. The law imposes significant burdens on plaintiff for pleading and proving a shareholder derivative claim. While Plaintiff believes its claims are meritorious, Plaintiff acknowledges that there is a substantial risk that the derivative claims may not succeed in producing a recovery in light of the applicable legal standards and possible defenses. Plaintiff also recognizes that any recovery may not be collectible. Plaintiff believes that, under the circumstances, they have obtained the best relief available for LQR and its shareholders in the form of the corporate governance changes and protections contemplated in this Settlement.

The Defendants have strenuously denied, and continue strenuously to deny, each and every allegation of liability made against them or that could have been made against them in the Action, and assert that they have meritorious defenses to the Derivative Claims and that judgment should be entered dismissing the claims against them with prejudice. The Individual Defendants have thus entered into the Derivative Settlement to avoid the continuing additional expense, inconvenience, and distraction of this burdensome litigation and to avoid the risks inherent in any lawsuit, and without admitting any wrongdoing or liability whatsoever.

VI. FINAL HEARING

On November 17, 2025, at 9:00 a.m., the Court will hold the Final Hearing at the Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101. At the Final Hearing, the Court

1
 2
 3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

VII. RIGHT TO ATTEND FINAL HEARING

dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the Settlement.

Any Current LQR Shareholder may, but is not required to, appear in person at the Final Hearing. If you want to be heard at the Final Hearing, then you must first comply with the procedures for objecting, which are set forth below. The Court has the right to change the hearing dates or times without further notice. Thus, if you are planning to attend the Final Hearing, you should confirm the date and time before going to the Court. CURRENT LQR SHAREHOLDERS WHO HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THE SETTLEMENT DO NOT NEED TO APPEAR AT THE FINAL HEARING OR TAKE ANY OTHER ACTION.

will consider whether the terms of the Settlement of the Derivative Claims are fair, reasonable, and

adequate and thus should be finally approved and whether the Derivative Claims should be

VIII. RIGHT TO OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT AND PROCEDURES FOR DOING SO

You have the right to object to any aspect of the Settlement. You must object in writing, and you may request to be heard at the Final Hearing. If you choose to object, then you must follow these procedures:

A. You Must Make Detailed Objections in Writing.

Any objection must be presented in writing and must contain the following information:

- 1. Your name, legal address, and telephone number;
- 2. Proof of being a Current LQR Shareholder as of the Record Date;
- 3. The date(s) you purchased your LQR shares;
- 4. A statement of your position with respect to the matters to be heard at the Final Hearing, including a statement of each objection being made;
- 5. The grounds for each objection or the reasons for your desiring to appear and to be heard;
- 6. Notice of whether you intend to appear at the Final Hearing (this is not required if you have lodged your objection with the Court); and
 - 7. Copies of any papers you intend to submit to the Court.

28

1	The Court may not consider any objection that does not substantially comply with these		
2	requirements.		
3	B. You Must Timely Deliver Written Objections to the Court, Plaintiff's Counsel		
4	and Defendants' Counsel.		
5	YOUR WRITTEN OBJECTIONS MUST BE ON FILE WITH THE CLERK OF THE		
6	COURT NO LATER THAN October 31, 2025. The Court Clerk's address is:		
7	Clerk of the Court		
8	Eighth Judicial District Court 200 Lewis Avenue		
9	Las Vegas, NV 89155		
10	YOU MUST ALSO DELIVER COPIES OF THE MATERIALS TO PLAINTIFF'S		
11	COUNSEL AND COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS SO THEY ARE RECEIVED NO LATER		
12	THAN November 6, 2025. Counsels' addresses are:		
13	Counsel for Plaintiff:		
14	Joel E. Tasca, Esq.		
15	Kyle A. Ewing, Esq. Madeleine Coles, Esq.		
16	Alix R. Goldstein, Esq. GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP		
17	10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89135		
18	Counsel for Derivative Defendants		
19	Darrell Cafasso, Esq.		
20	Nicholas Poli, Esq. ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP		
21	51 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019-6142		
22	Unless the Court orders otherwise, your objection will not be considered unless it is timely		
23	filed with the Court and delivered to counsel listed above.		
24	Any person or entity who fails to object or otherwise request to be heard in the manne		
25	prescribed above will be deemed to have waived the right to object to any aspect of the Settlemen		
26	or otherwise request to be heard (including the right to appeal) and will be forever barred from		
27	raising such objection or request to be heard in this or any other action or proceeding, unles		
28	otherwise ordered by the Court.		

IX. HOW TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION This Notice summarizes the Settlement. It is not a complete statement of the events of the Action or the Settlement. You may inspect the Settlement and other papers in the Action at the Eighth Judicial District Court Clerk's office at any time during regular business hours of each business day. The Clerk's office is located at the Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada. PLEASE DO NOT CALL, WRITE, OR OTHERWISE DIRECT QUESTIONS TO EITHER THE COURT OR THE CLERK'S OFFICE. Any questions you have about matters in this Notice should be directed to counsel for the Parties as listed above. DATED this 30th day of September, 2025. BY ORDER OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, DEPARTMENT XV, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

1	CSERV		
2 3	DISTRICT COURT		
4	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
5			
6	Kingbird Ventures, LLC,	CASE NO: A-25-922959-B	
7	Plaintiff(s)	DEPT. NO. Department 15	
8	VS.		
9	Sean Dollinger, Defendant(s)		
10			
11	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
12	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District		
13	Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:		
14	Service Date: 9/30/2025		
15	Debra Spinelli	dls@pisanellibice.com	
16 17	M. Calderon	mmm@pisanellibice.com	
18	Andrea Rosehill	rosehilla@gtlaw.com	
19	Megan Sheffield	sheffieldm@gtlaw.com	
20	LVGT docketing	lvlitdock@gtlaw.com	
21	Kimberly Peets	kap@pisanellibice.com	
22	Travis Chance	tchance@bhfs.com	
23	Emily Dyer	edyer@bhfs.com	
24 25	E File	efile@sgroandroger.com	
26	Colleen Savage	csavage@sgroandroger.com	
27	Evelyn Gaddi	escobargaddie@gtlaw.com	

1	Alanna Bondy	abondy@sgroandroger.com
2	Kyle Ewing	ewingk@gtlaw.com
3	Alexis Williams	awilliams@sgroandroger.com
4	Tasca Joel	Joel.Tasca@gtlaw.com
5		9.0
6	Richard Roth	rich@rrothlaw.com
7	Brian Levenson	Brian@rrothlaw.com
8	Abigail Beiswenger	PATeam12@orrick.com
10	Abigail Beiswenger	PATeam12@orrick.com
11	Darrell Cafasso	dcafasso@orrick.com
12	Nicholas Poli	npoli@orrick.com
13	Connor Carroll	ccarroll@orrick.com
14	Chris Darling	chris.darling@gtlaw.com
15	Madeleine Coles	madeleine.coles@gtlaw.com
16	Alix Goldstein	Alix.Goldstein@gtlaw.com
17 18	Teresa Beiter	tnb@pisanellibice.com
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		